Partial Differential Equations Assignment 2

Liam Carroll - 830916

Due Date: 17^{th} September 2021

Q1. Finite speed of propagation

Let $B(x_0, r_0)$ be the closed ball of radius r_0 centred at $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Consider the domain of dependence,

$$\mathcal{D}(B(x_0, r_0)) = \{(t, x) : 0 \le t \le r_0, |x - x_0| \le r_0 - t\}.$$



Figure 1: Note that $\mathcal{D}(B(x_0, r_0))$ is inclusive of everything inside the red cone (it is closed).

We will show that if u(t, x) is a solution of the wave equation with zero boundary conditions,

$$\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2} = \Delta u \,, \quad u(0,x) = \partial_t u(0,x) = 0 \,, \quad \text{for all } x \in B(x_0,r_0) \,,$$

then u(t, x) = 0 for all $(t, x) \in \mathcal{D}(B(x_0, r_0))$, where $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

Part a)

Let

$$B_t(x_0, r_0) = \left\{ x : |x - x_0| \le r_0 - t \right\}$$

be $\mathcal{D}(B(x_0, r_0))$ for a fixed value t. For sanity, note that $\{x_0\} = B_{r_0} \subset B_0(x_0, r_0)$. Consider the energy integral

$$E(t) = \int_{B_t(x_0, r_0)} e(t, x) dx, \quad \text{where} \quad e(t, x) = \frac{1}{2} \left((\partial_t u)^2 + |\nabla u|^2 \right) (t, x).$$

Firstly, recall the coarea formula on the sphere (Stein, Shakarchi Fourier Analysis pg293) which states that for any continuous and integrable function f(x) we may write for any ball $\mathcal{B}(x_0, R)$ of radius R centred at x_0

$$\int_{\mathcal{B}(x_0,R)} f(x) \, \mathrm{d}x = \int_0^R \left(\int_{\partial \mathcal{B}(x_0,R)} f(x) \, \mathrm{d}\sigma \right) \, \mathrm{d}r \, .$$

In essence, this line is where the geometry of the light cone is exploited. It just so happens that the sphere obeys this relation - most (maybe all?) other domains of integration would give a Jacobian that does not cancel out with the spherical measure $d\sigma(\gamma)$. Let us write $B_t(x_0, r_0) = \mathcal{B}(x_0, r_0 - t)$ so as to not confuse ourselves. Then using the coarea formula,

$$E(t) = \int_0^{r_0 - t} \left(\int_{\partial \mathcal{B}(x_0, r)} e(t, x) \, \mathrm{d}\sigma \right) \, \mathrm{d}r \, .$$

Let f(x, r) denote the inner integral in the above equation. We may then appeal to the Leibniz integral rule (or as Volker puts it, merely the fundamental theorem of calculus) to write

$$E'(t) = \int_0^{r_0 - t} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} f(x, r) \, \mathrm{d}r + f(x, r_0 - t) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (r_0 - t)$$

=
$$\int_0^{r_0 - t} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int_{\partial \mathcal{B}(x_0, r)} e(t, x) \, \mathrm{d}\sigma \right) \, \mathrm{d}r - \int_{\partial \mathcal{B}(x_0, r_0 - t)} e(t, x) \, \mathrm{d}\sigma$$

=
$$\int_0^{r_0 - t} \left(\int_{\partial \mathcal{B}(x_0, r)} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e(t, x) \, \mathrm{d}\sigma \right) \, \mathrm{d}r - \int_{\partial \mathcal{B}(x_0, r_0 - t)} e(t, x) \, \mathrm{d}\sigma$$

=
$$\int_{B_t(x_0, r_0)} \partial_t e(t, x) \, \mathrm{d}x - \int_{\partial B_t(x_0, r_0)} e(t, x) \, \mathrm{d}\sigma ,$$

where we may take the ∂_t inside the integral since e(t, x) is assumed to be continuous and integrable, and the bounds are independent of t.

Part b)

A simple calculation using the chain rule shows

$$\partial_t e(t,x) = \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}\right) \left(\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2}\right) + \sum_{i=1}^d \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}\right) \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i}\right) = (\partial_t u)(\Delta u) + (\nabla \partial_t u) \cdot (\nabla u),$$

where the last equality follows from the fact that u satisfies the wave equation. Recall the identity $\nabla \cdot (f\mathbf{F}) = f\nabla \cdot \mathbf{F} + (\nabla f) \cdot \mathbf{F}$, which we can use to write

$$\partial_t e(t, x) = \nabla \cdot (\partial_t u \nabla u).$$

Thus, using the divergence theorem we have

$$\int_{B_t(x_0,r_0)} \partial_t e(t,x) \, \mathrm{d}x = \int_{B_t(x_0,r_0)} \nabla \cdot (\partial_t u \nabla u) \, \mathrm{d}x = \int_{\partial B_t(x_0,r_0)} (\partial_t u \nabla u) \cdot n \, \mathrm{d}\sigma \,,$$

where n is the unit normal vector to the boundary.

Part c)

We have now shown that

$$E'(t) = \int_{\partial B_t(x_0, r_0)} \left((\partial_t u \nabla u) \cdot n - e(t, x) \right) d\sigma.$$

Using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, $a \cdot b \leq |a||b|$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\partial_t u \nabla u) \cdot n - e(t, x) &\leq |\partial_t u \nabla u| |n| - e(t, x) \\ &= |\partial_t u| |\nabla u| - \frac{1}{2} |\partial_t u|^2 - \frac{1}{2} |\nabla u|^2 \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} (|\partial_t u| - |\nabla u|)^2 \leq 0 \,. \end{aligned}$$

Since E'(t) is an integral over a non-positive function, we thus have $E'(t) \leq 0$.

To finally see uniqueness on $\mathcal{D}(B(x_0, r_0))$, note that since u(0, x) = 0 everywhere on $B(x_0, r_0)$ we also must have $\partial_{x_i} u(0, x) = 0$. Combining this with $\partial_t u(0, x) = 0$ we thus have e(0, x) = 0 and so E(0) = 0. But since $e(t, x) \ge 0$, we must have $E(t) \ge 0$, but since we have shown that $E'(t) \le 0$ for all t we necessarily have E(t) = 0 for all t. This implies e(t, x) = 0 everywhere, thus all partials must be 0, implying u(t, x) is necessarily a constant. But since u(0, x) = 0, we finally conclude that u(t, x) = 0 everywhere as required.

Q2. Weak convergence in Hilbert space

Let \mathcal{H} be an infinite-dimensional Hilbert space, for which we know that the unit ball is not compact in \mathcal{H} . However, we can show a kind of weak compactness. Let $\{f_n\}$ be a sequence in \mathcal{H} on the unit ball, so $||f_n|| = 1$ for all n. We will show that there exists an $f \in \mathcal{H}$ and a subsequence $\{f_{n_k}\}$ such that for all $g \in \mathcal{H}$ we have weak convergence, $\lim_{k\to\infty} (f_{n_k}, g) = (f, g)$.

Let $\{e_j\}$ be an orthonormal basis for \mathcal{H} , where $e_j = (0, \ldots, 0, 1, 0, \ldots, 0)$ such that the 1 occurs in the *j* entry as usual. Define a sequence by $x_n^1 = \{(f_n, e_1)\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subseteq \mathbb{C}$. Since f_n is on the unit ball for each *n*, we have by Cauchy-Schwarz that $|(f_n, e_1)| \leq ||f_n|| ||e_1|| = 1$. This implies that x_n^1 is a sequence in the compact ball $B(0, 1) \subseteq \mathbb{C}$, and thus has a convergent subsequence which we may denote $x_{n_j}^1 = \{(f_{n,j}, e_1)\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$, where $x_{n_j}^1 \to X^1$ for some $X^1 \in B(0, 1) \subseteq \mathbb{C}$.

Then consider the sequence $x_{n_j}^2 = \{(f_{n_j}, e_2)\}_{j=1}^\infty$. By the same argument, this also has a convergent subsequence $x_{n_{j_i}}^2 \to X^2$ as a limit in *i*. Moreover, $\{f_{n_{j_i}}\}_{i=1}^\infty$ is a subsequence of $\{f_{n_j}\}_{j=1}^\infty$ in \mathcal{H} , and since subsequences must converge to the same element as the sequence, we have $x_{n_{j_i}}^1 \to X^1$.

Repeating this process indefinitely, we can construct an infinite matrix of rows $\{f_{k,i}\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ such that:

- f_k is a subsequence of each previous row f_1, \ldots, f_{k-1} ,
- $\lim_{i\to\infty} (f_{k,i}, e_k) = X^k$,
- and $\lim_{i\to\infty} (f_{k+m,i}, e_k) = X^k$ for all $m \ge 0$

If we then define the diagonal subsequence $f_{n,n}$ of f_n , then for any fixed e_k we have $\lim_{n\to\infty}(f_{n,n}, e_k) = X^k$ by construction. In other words, for any basis element e_k , the subsequence $\{(f_{n,n}, e_k)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ converges!

Let $g \in \mathcal{H}$ be an arbitrary element, which we may write as $g = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} g_k e_k$ where $g_k = (g, e_k)$. Let $S_K(g) = \sum_{k=1}^{K} g_k e_k$. We can show that the sequence (f_{nn}, g) is Cauchy. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be fixed. For any n > m we can estimate

$$\begin{aligned} |(f_{nn},g) - (f_{mm},g)| &\leq |(f_{nn},g) - (f_{nn},S_K(g))| + |(f_{nn},S_K(g)) - (f_{mm},S_K(g))| \\ &+ |(f_{mm},g) - (f_{mm},S_K(g))| \\ &= |(f_{nn},g - S_K(g))| + |(f_{nn},S_K(g)) - (f_{mm},S_K(g))| + |(f_{mm},g - S_K(g))| \\ &\leq |f_{nn}||g - S_K(g)| + |(f_{nn},S_K(g)) - (f_{mm},S_K(g))| + |f_{mm}||g - S_K(g)| \end{aligned}$$

Since $S_K(g) \to g$, there is some K such that the first and last terms are less than $\varepsilon/2$. For the second term, taking N = K we have

$$|(f_{nn}, S_K(g)) - (f_{mm}, S_K(g))| = \left|\sum_{k=1}^K g_k[(f_{nn}, e_k) - (f_{mm}, e_k)]\right| = \left|\sum_{k=1}^K g_k[X^k - X^k]\right| = 0.$$

Thus for all $n, m \ge K$ we have $|(f_{nn}, g) - (f_{mm}, g)| < \varepsilon$, showing that (f_{nn}, g) is Cauchy. Finally, by the Riesz representation theorem, we may define

$$\ell_n : \mathcal{H} \to \mathbb{C}, \quad \ell_n(g) = (f_{n,n}, g).$$

Then since the dual \mathcal{H}^* is also a Hilbert space, and ℓ_n is Cauchy, we have that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} = \ell_n = \ell \in \mathcal{H}^*$$

for some ℓ . By reversing Riesz we thus have some $f \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $\ell(g) = (f, g)$ for all $g \in \mathcal{H}$. This shows that f_n converges weakly to f. \Box